

## Lin-log

```
gretl version 2017d
Current session: 2018-03-28 13:00
```

```
# PS6.1 for Example 6.1
? open data4-1
```

```
Read datafile C:Files-1.gdt
periodicity: 1, maxobs: 14
observations range: 1 to 14
```

```
Listing 5 variables:
```

```
0) const    1) price    2) sqft    3) bedrms    4) baths
```

```
? logs sqft bedrms baths
```

```
Listing 8 variables:
```

```
0) const    1) price    2) sqft    3) bedrms    4) baths
5) l_sqft    6) l_bedrms  7) l_baths
```

```
# learn about the logs command
? help logs
```

```
logs
```

```
Argument:  varlist
```

The natural log of each of the series in varlist is obtained and the result stored in a new series with the prefix l\_ ("el" underscore). For example, "logs x y" creates the new variables  $l_x = \ln(x)$  and  $l_y = \ln(y)$ .

```
Menu path:  /Add/Logs of selected variables
```

```
# "best" linear model
? ols price 0 sqft
```

Model 1: OLS, using observations 1-14  
 Dependent variable: price

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value
const	52,3509	37,2855	1,404	0,1857
sqft	0,138750	0,0187329	7,407	8,20e-06 ***
Mean dependent var	317,4929	S.D. dependent var	88,49816	
Sum squared resid	18273,57	S.E. of regression	39,02304	
R-squared	0,820522	Adjusted R-squared	0,805565	
F(1, 12)	54,86051	P-value(F)	8,20e-06	
Log-likelihood	-70,08421	Akaike criterion	144,1684	
Schwarz criterion	145,4465	Hannan-Quinn	144,0501	

```
# estimate linear-log model
? ols price 0 l_sqft l_bedrms l_baths
```

Model 2: OLS, using observations 1-14  
 Dependent variable: price

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value
const	-1773,14	372,290	-4,763	0,0008 ***
l_sqft	303,709	58,6762	5,176	0,0004 ***
l_bedrms	-143,249	91,1029	-1,572	0,1469
l_baths	-8,65825	95,1471	-0,09100	0,9293
Mean dependent var	317,4929	S.D. dependent var	88,49816	
Sum squared resid	14969,35	S.E. of regression	38,69024	
R-squared	0,852975	Adjusted R-squared	0,808868	
F(3, 10)	19,33856	P-value(F)	0,000174	
Log-likelihood	-68,68806	Akaike criterion	145,3761	
Schwarz criterion	147,9323	Hannan-Quinn	145,1395	

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 7 (l\_baths)

```
# omit l_baths
? omit l_baths
Test on Model 2:
```

Null hypothesis: the regression parameter is zero for l\_baths  
 Test statistic:  $F(1, 10) = 0,00828074$ , p-value 0,929291  
 Omitting variables improved 3 of 3 information criteria.

Model 3: OLS, using observations 1-14  
 Dependent variable: price

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value
const	-1749,97	259,141	-6,753	3,14e-05 ***
l_sqft	299,972	39,9758	7,504	1,19e-05 ***
l_bedrms	-145,094	84,7188	-1,713	0,1148

Mean dependent var	317,4929	S.D. dependent var	88,49816
Sum squared resid	14981,74	S.E. of regression	36,90497
R-squared	0,852853	Adjusted R-squared	0,826099
F(2, 11)	31,87767	P-value(F)	0,000026
Log-likelihood	-68,69385	Akaike criterion	143,3877
Schwarz criterion	145,3049	Hannan-Quinn	143,2102

# omit l\_bedrms also  
 ? omit l\_bedrms  
 Test on Model 3:

Null hypothesis: the regression parameter is zero for l\_bedrms  
 Test statistic:  $F(1, 11) = 2,93319$ , p-value 0,114784  
 Omitting variables improved 0 of 3 information criteria.

Model 4: OLS, using observations 1-14  
 Dependent variable: price

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value
const	-1660,81	273,543	-6,071	5,57e-05 ***
l_sqft	263,316	36,3816	7,238	1,03e-05 ***

Mean dependent var	317,4929	S.D. dependent var	88,49816
Sum squared resid	18976,68	S.E. of regression	39,76670
R-squared	0,813616	Adjusted R-squared	0,798084
F(1, 12)	52,38323	P-value(F)	0,000010
Log-likelihood	-70,34850	Akaike criterion	144,6970
Schwarz criterion	145,9751	Hannan-Quinn	144,5787

## RESET

gretl version 2017d

Current session: 2018-03-29 11:13

# PS6.12 for Ramsey's RESET test - Example 6.9

? open data6-1

Read datafile C:Files-1.gdt

periodicity: 1, maxobs: 20

observations range: 1 to 20

Listing 4 variables:

0) const            1) UNITCOST            2) OUTPUT            3) INPCOST

? ols UNITCOST const OUTPUT INPCOST

Model 1: OLS, using observations 1-20

Dependent variable: UNITCOST

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	5,19878	0,565756	9,189	5,27e-08	***
OUTPUT	-0,0351553	0,00417800	-8,414	1,82e-07	***
INPCOST	0,0207658	0,00294897	7,042	1,98e-06	***

Mean dependent var	4,882000	S.D. dependent var	0,774016
Sum squared resid	0,982611	S.E. of regression	0,240418
R-squared	0,913677	Adjusted R-squared	0,903521
F(2, 17)	89,96711	P-value(F)	9,06e-10
Log-likelihood	1,753976	Akaike criterion	2,492048
Schwarz criterion	5,479244	Hannan-Quinn	3,075180

# generate estimated Y and its powers

? genr yhat1 = UNITCOST-\$uhat

Generated series yhat1 (ID 4)

? genr yhat2 = yhat1\*yhat1

Generated series yhat2 (ID 5)

? genr yhat3 = yhat1\*yhat2

Generated series yhat3 (ID 6)

? genr yhat4 = yhat2\*yhat2

Generated series yhat4 (ID 7)

? list

Listing 8 variables:

0) const            1) UNITCOST            2) OUTPUT            3) INPCOST            4) yhat1  
5) yhat2            6) yhat3            7) yhat4

```
# estimated model with new variables
? ols UNITCOST const OUTPUT INPCOST yhat2 yhat3 yhat4
```

Model 2: OLS, using observations 1-20  
 Dependent variable: UNITCOST

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value
const	224,118	626,756	0,3576	0,7260
OUTPUT	-1,91640	5,54662	-0,3455	0,7348
INPCOST	1,13619	3,27698	0,3467	0,7340
yhat2	-17,6124	47,8037	-0,3684	0,7181
yhat3	2,49635	6,38096	0,3912	0,7015
yhat4	-0,129121	0,316727	-0,4077	0,6897

Mean dependent var	4,882000	S.D. dependent var	0,774016
Sum squared resid	0,545155	S.E. of regression	0,197331
R-squared	0,952108	Adjusted R-squared	0,935003
F(5, 14)	55,66441	P-value(F)	9,65e-09
Log-likelihood	7,645399	Akaike criterion	-3,290799
Schwarz criterion	2,683595	Hannan-Quinn	-2,124534

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 2 (OUTPUT)

```
? omit yhat2 yhat3 yhat4
Test on Model 2:
```

Null hypothesis: the regression parameters are zero for the variables  
 yhat2, yhat3, yhat4  
 Test statistic:  $F(3, 14) = 3,74473$ , p-value 0,0364069  
 Omitting variables improved 0 of 3 information criteria.

Model 3: OLS, using observations 1-20  
 Dependent variable: UNITCOST

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value
const	5,19878	0,565756	9,189	5,27e-08 ***
OUTPUT	-0,0351553	0,00417800	-8,414	1,82e-07 ***
INPCOST	0,0207658	0,00294897	7,042	1,98e-06 ***

Mean dependent var	4,882000	S.D. dependent var	0,774016
Sum squared resid	0,982611	S.E. of regression	0,240418
R-squared	0,913677	Adjusted R-squared	0,903521
F(2, 17)	89,96711	P-value(F)	9,06e-10

```

Log-likelihood      1,753976   Akaike criterion    2,492048
Schwarz criterion   5,479244   Hannan-Quinn       3,075180

```

```

# Repeat example with the square of OUTPUT added to the model
? square OUTPUT

```

```

Listing 9 variables:

```

```

 0) const          1) UNITCOST      2) OUTPUT          3) INPCOST
 4) yhat1          5) yhat2        6) yhat3          7) yhat4
 8) sq_OUTPUT

```

```

? ols UNITCOST const OUTPUT INPCOST sq_OUTPUT

```

```

Model 4: OLS, using observations 1-20
Dependent variable: UNITCOST

```

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	10,5223	0,736531	14,29	1,58e-010	***
OUTPUT	-0,174473	0,0180692	-9,656	4,46e-08	***
INPCOST	0,0201678	0,00139534	14,45	1,33e-010	***
sq_OUTPUT	0,000894804	0,000115360	7,757	8,26e-07	***

```

Mean dependent var    4,882000   S.D. dependent var    0,774016
Sum squared resid     0,206417   S.E. of regression    0,113583
R-squared              0,981866   Adjusted R-squared    0,978466
F(3, 16)              288,7748   P-value(F)            3,87e-14
Log-likelihood        17,35712   Akaike criterion      -26,71424
Schwarz criterion     -22,73132   Hannan-Quinn         -25,93674

```

```

? genr yhat5 = UNITCOST-$uhat
Generated series yhat5 (ID 9)
? genr yhat6 = yhat5*yhat5
Generated series yhat6 (ID 10)
? genr yhat7 = yhat5*yhat6
Generated series yhat7 (ID 11)
? genr yhat8 = yhat6*yhat6
Generated series yhat8 (ID 12)
? list

```

```

Listing 13 variables:

```

```

 0) const          1) UNITCOST      2) OUTPUT          3) INPCOST
 4) yhat1          5) yhat2        6) yhat3          7) yhat4
 8) sq_OUTPUT     9) yhat5       10) yhat6         11) yhat7
12) yhat8

```

```

? ols UNITCOST const OUTPUT INPCOST sq_OUTPUT yhat6 yhat7 yhat8

```

Model 5: OLS, using observations 1-20  
 Dependent variable: UNITCOST

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value
const	-99,0282	386,002	-0,2565	0,8015
OUTPUT	1,91694	7,26511	0,2639	0,7960
INPCOST	-0,224111	0,840193	-0,2667	0,7939
sq_OUTPUT	-0,00980809	0,0372521	-0,2633	0,7965
yhat6	3,10725	12,3045	0,2525	0,8046
yhat7	-0,337696	1,59740	-0,2114	0,8359
yhat8	0,0129103	0,0769299	0,1678	0,8693
Mean dependent var	4,882000	S.D. dependent var	0,774016	
Sum squared resid	0,185731	S.E. of regression	0,119528	
R-squared	0,983683	Adjusted R-squared	0,976153	
F(6, 13)	130,6220	P-value(F)	7,47e-11	
Log-likelihood	18,41310	Akaike criterion	-22,82621	
Schwarz criterion	-15,85608	Hannan-Quinn	-21,46557	

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 12 (yhat8)

? omit yhat6 yhat7 yhat8  
 Test on Model 5:

Null hypothesis: the regression parameters are zero for the variables  
 yhat6, yhat7, yhat8  
 Test statistic:  $F(3, 13) = 0,482626$ , p-value 0,700039  
 Omitting variables improved 3 of 3 information criteria.

Model 6: OLS, using observations 1-20  
 Dependent variable: UNITCOST

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value
const	10,5223	0,736531	14,29	1,58e-010 ***
OUTPUT	-0,174473	0,0180692	-9,656	4,46e-08 ***
INPCOST	0,0201678	0,00139534	14,45	1,33e-010 ***
sq_OUTPUT	0,000894804	0,000115360	7,757	8,26e-07 ***
Mean dependent var	4,882000	S.D. dependent var	0,774016	
Sum squared resid	0,206417	S.E. of regression	0,113583	
R-squared	0,981866	Adjusted R-squared	0,978466	
F(3, 16)	288,7748	P-value(F)	3,87e-14	
Log-likelihood	17,35712	Akaike criterion	-26,71424	
Schwarz criterion	-22,73132	Hannan-Quinn	-25,93674	

## POLINOMIÁLIS

### RESET elötte

RESET test for specification (squares and cubes)

Test statistic:  $F = 5,859717$ ,  
with p-value =  $P(F(2,15) > 5,85972) = 0,0132$

RESET test for specification (squares only)

Test statistic:  $F = 11,677220$ ,  
with p-value =  $P(F(1,16) > 11,6772) = 0,00353$

RESET test for specification (cubes only)

Test statistic:  $F = 11,364674$ ,  
with p-value =  $P(F(1,16) > 11,3647) = 0,00389$

### A modell:

gretl version 2017d

Current session: 2018-03-28 13:14

# PS6.2 for Example 6.2

? open data6-1

Read datafile C:Files-1.gdt

periodicity: 1, maxobs: 20

observations range: 1 to 20

Listing 4 variables:

0) const            1) UNITCOST        2) OUTPUT            3) INPCOST

? square OUTPUT INPCOST

Listing 6 variables:

0) const            1) UNITCOST        2) OUTPUT            3) INPCOST  
4) sq\_OUTPUT        5) sq\_INPCOST

# estimate models

? ols UNITCOST 0 OUTPUT sq\_OUTPUT INPCOST sq\_INPCOST

Model 1: OLS, using observations 1-20

Dependent variable: UNITCOST



	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value
const	10,3907	1,33070	7,808	1,16e-06 ***
OUTPUT	-0,173953	0,0191452	-9,086	1,74e-07 ***
sq_OUTPUT	0,000891366	0,000122458	7,279	2,70e-06 ***
INPCOST	0,0221617	0,0166131	1,334	0,2021
sq_INPCOST	-8,56983e-06	7,11345e-05	-0,1205	0,9057

Mean dependent var	4,882000	S.D. dependent var	0,774016
Sum squared resid	0,206217	S.E. of regression	0,117251
R-squared	0,981884	Adjusted R-squared	0,977053
F(4, 15)	203,2449	P-value(F)	7,21e-13
Log-likelihood	17,36679	Akaike criterion	-24,73359
Schwarz criterion	-19,75493	Hannan-Quinn	-23,76170

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 5 (sq\_INPCOST)

? omit sq\_INPCOST

Test on Model 1:

Null hypothesis: the regression parameter is zero for sq\_INPCOST  
 Test statistic:  $F(1, 15) = 0,0145139$ , p-value 0,905707  
 Omitting variables improved 3 of 3 information criteria.

Model 2: OLS, using observations 1-20

Dependent variable: UNITCOST

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value
const	10,5223	0,736531	14,29	1,58e-010 ***
OUTPUT	-0,174473	0,0180692	-9,656	4,46e-08 ***
sq_OUTPUT	0,000894804	0,000115360	7,757	8,26e-07 ***
INPCOST	0,0201678	0,00139534	14,45	1,33e-010 ***

Mean dependent var	4,882000	S.D. dependent var	0,774016
Sum squared resid	0,206417	S.E. of regression	0,113583
R-squared	0,981866	Adjusted R-squared	0,978466
F(3, 16)	288,7748	P-value(F)	3,87e-14
Log-likelihood	17,35712	Akaike criterion	-26,71424
Schwarz criterion	-22,73132	Hannan-Quinn	-25,93674

# retrieve regression coefficients

? genr b1 = \$coeff(0)

Generated scalar b1 = 10,5223

? genr b2 = \$coeff(OUTPUT)

```

Generated scalar b2 = -0,174473
? genr b3 = $coeff(sq_OUTPUT)
Generated scalar b3 = 0,000894804
? genr b4 = $coeff(INPCOST)
Generated scalar b4 = 0,0201678
# generate estimated averages to graph the average cost function
? genr time
Generated series time (ID 6)
? genr x = 40 + (time*5)
Generated series x (ID 7)
? genr INPCOST1 = 80
Generated scalar INPCOST1 = 80
? genr INPCOST2 = 115
Generated scalar INPCOST2 = 115
? genr INPCOST3 = 150
Generated scalar INPCOST3 = 150
? genr yhat1 = b1+(b2*x) + (b3*x*x) + (b4*INPCOST1)
Generated series yhat1 (ID 8)
? genr yhat2 = b1+(b2*x) + (b3*x*x) + (b4*INPCOST2)
Generated series yhat2 (ID 9)
? genr yhat3 = b1+(b2*x) + (b3*x*x) + (b4*INPCOST3)
Generated series yhat3 (ID 10)
? print -o x yhat1 yhat2 yhat3

```

	x	yhat1	yhat2	yhat3
1	45	6,096434	6,802308	7,508181
2	50	5,649102	6,354976	7,060849
3	55	5,246511	5,952384	6,658258
4	60	4,888660	5,594533	6,300407
5	65	4,575549	5,281422	5,987296
6	70	4,307178	5,013051	5,718925
7	75	4,083547	4,789421	5,495294
8	80	3,904657	4,610531	5,316404
9	85	3,770507	4,476380	5,182254
10	90	3,681097	4,386971	5,092844
11	95	3,636427	4,342301	5,048174
12	100	3,636498	4,342371	5,048245
13	105	3,681309	4,387182	5,093055
14	110	3,770860	4,476733	5,182606
15	115	3,905151	4,611024	5,316898
16	120	4,084182	4,790055	5,495929
17	125	4,307954	5,013827	5,719700
18	130	4,576465	5,282339	5,988212
19	135	4,889717	5,595591	6,301464
20	140	5,247710	5,953583	6,659456

## RESET utána

RESET test for specification (squares and cubes)

Test statistic:  $F = 0,762810$ ,

with p-value =  $P(F(2,14) > 0,76281) = 0,485$

RESET test for specification (squares only)

Test statistic:  $F = 0,178377$ ,

with p-value =  $P(F(1,15) > 0,178377) = 0,679$

RESET test for specification (cubes only)

Test statistic:  $F = 0,244728$ ,

with p-value =  $P(F(1,15) > 0,244728) = 0,628$

## Késleltetések

gretl version 2017d  
Current session: 2018-03-28 11:59

# PS6.5 for Example 6.4  
? open data6-3

Read datafile C:\Files-3.gdt  
periodicity: 1, maxobs: 42  
observations range: 1948 to 1989

Listing 4 variables:

0) const    1) Year    2) Cons    3) DI

? genr C1 = Cons(-1)  
Generated series C1 (ID 4)  
# print C1 to see how it is stored internally  
? print Cons C1

Cons:

Full data range: 1948 - 1989 (n = 42)

1858,00	1877,00	1917,00	1892,00	1887,00	1963,00	2037,00	2117,00
2126,00	2160,00	2203,00	2285,00	2354,00	2386,00	2418,00	2513,00
2573,00	2595,00	2627,00	2676,00	2738,00	2742,00	2813,00	2889,00
3062,00	3216,00	3169,00	3157,00	3171,00	3159,00	3338,00	3478,00
3477,00	3478,00	3516,00	3676,00	3727,00	3849,00	4082,00	4286,00
4584,00	4744,00						

C1:

Full data range: 1948 - 1989 (n = 41)

NA	1858,00	1877,00	1917,00	1892,00	1887,00	1963,00	2037,00
2117,00	2126,00	2160,00	2203,00	2285,00	2354,00	2386,00	2418,00
2513,00	2573,00	2595,00	2627,00	2676,00	2738,00	2742,00	2813,00
2889,00	3062,00	3216,00	3169,00	3157,00	3171,00	3159,00	3338,00
3478,00	3477,00	3478,00	3516,00	3676,00	3727,00	3849,00	4082,00
4286,00	4584,00						

# generate DY(t) - DY(t-1) using the diff function  
? genr DiffI = diff(DI)  
Generated series DiffI (ID 5)  
# print DI and DiffI to see how they are stored internally  
? print DI DiffI

DI:

Full data range: 1948 - 1989 (n = 42)

1875,00	1909,00	1957,00	1932,00	1964,00	2051,00	2110,00	2201,00
2248,00	2273,00	2298,00	2402,00	2538,00	2623,00	2628,00	2724,00
2820,00	2859,00	2907,00	2934,00	2971,00	2986,00	3093,00	3117,00
3369,00	3576,00	3547,00	3564,00	3551,00	3476,00	3736,00	3947,00
4003,00	3975,00	3969,00	4076,00	4165,00	4263,00	4444,00	4589,00
4847,00	5084,00						

DiffI:

Full data range: 1948 - 1989 (n = 41)

NA	34,0000	48,0000	-25,0000	32,0000	87,0000	59,0000
91,0000	47,0000	25,0000	25,0000	104,000	136,000	85,0000
5,00000	96,0000	96,0000	39,0000	48,0000	27,0000	37,0000
15,0000	107,000	24,0000	252,000	207,000	-29,0000	17,0000
-13,0000	-75,0000	260,000	211,000	56,0000	-28,0000	-6,00000
107,000	89,0000	98,0000	181,000	145,000	258,000	237,000

# note that ols starts in 1949 because C1 and DiffI are  
# undefined for 1948  
? ols Cons 0 C1 DiffI

Model 1: OLS, using observations 1949-1989 (T = 41)

Dependent variable: Cons

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	-46,8020	22,5661	-2,074	0,0449	**
C1	1,02188	0,00830664	123,0	4,85e-051	***
DiffI	0,705785	0,0710598	9,932	4,12e-012	***

Mean dependent var	2901,390	S.D. dependent var	764,0013
Sum squared resid	45965,44	S.E. of regression	34,77955
R-squared	0,998031	Adjusted R-squared	0,997928
F(2, 38)	9631,956	P-value(F)	3,89e-52
Log-likelihood	-202,1290	Akaike criterion	410,2580
Schwarz criterion	415,3987	Hannan-Quinn	412,1299
rho	0,208728	Durbin-Watson	1,535694

## KÉSLELTETÉS 2.

gretl version 2017d  
Current session: 2018-03-28 12:28

# PS6.6 for Application Section 6.7  
? open data3-3

Read datafile C:Files-3.gdt  
periodicity: 1, maxobs: 34  
observations range: 1960 to 1993

Listing 4 variables:

0) const      1) YEAR      2) PATENTS      3) R\_D

? ols PATENTS 0 R\_D

Model 1: OLS, using observations 1960-1993 (T = 34)  
Dependent variable: PATENTS

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	34,5711	6,35787	5,438	5,56e-06	***
R_D	0,791935	0,0567036	13,97	3,64e-015	***

Mean dependent var	119,2382	S.D. dependent var	29,30583
Sum squared resid	3994,300	S.E. of regression	11,17237
R-squared	0,859065	Adjusted R-squared	0,854661
F(1, 32)	195,0551	P-value(F)	3,64e-15
Log-likelihood	-129,2704	Akaike criterion	262,5408
Schwarz criterion	265,5935	Hannan-Quinn	263,5818
rho	0,945182	Durbin-Watson	0,233951

# generate lagged variables

? genr R\_D1 = R\_D(-1)

Generated series R\_D1 (ID 4)

? genr R\_D2 = R\_D(-2)

Generated series R\_D2 (ID 5)

? genr R\_D3 = R\_D(-3)

Generated series R\_D3 (ID 6)

? genr R\_D4 = R\_D(-4)

Generated series R\_D4 (ID 7)

# print them to see that missing observations are denoted by -999

? print R\_D R\_D1 R\_D2 R\_D3 R\_D4

R\_D:

Full data range: 1960 - 1993 (n = 34)

57,9400	60,5900	64,4400	70,6600	76,8300	80,0000	84,8200	86,8400
88,8100	88,2800	85,2900	83,1800	85,0700	86,7200	85,4500	83,4100
87,4400	90,1100	94,5000	99,2800	103,640	108,770	113,960	121,720
133,330	144,780	148,390	150,900	154,360	157,190	161,860	164,540
166,700	165,200						

R\_D1:

Full data range: 1960 - 1993 (n = 33)

NA	57,9400	60,5900	64,4400	70,6600	76,8300	80,0000	84,8200
86,8400	88,8100	88,2800	85,2900	83,1800	85,0700	86,7200	85,4500
83,4100	87,4400	90,1100	94,5000	99,2800	103,640	108,770	113,960
121,720	133,330	144,780	148,390	150,900	154,360	157,190	161,860
164,540	166,700						

R\_D2:

Full data range: 1960 - 1993 (n = 32)

NA	NA	57,9400	60,5900	64,4400	70,6600	76,8300	80,0000
84,8200	86,8400	88,8100	88,2800	85,2900	83,1800	85,0700	86,7200
85,4500	83,4100	87,4400	90,1100	94,5000	99,2800	103,640	108,770
113,960	121,720	133,330	144,780	148,390	150,900	154,360	157,190
161,860	164,540						

R\_D3:

Full data range: 1960 - 1993 (n = 31)

NA	NA	NA	57,9400	60,5900	64,4400	70,6600	76,8300
80,0000	84,8200	86,8400	88,8100	88,2800	85,2900	83,1800	85,0700
86,7200	85,4500	83,4100	87,4400	90,1100	94,5000	99,2800	103,640
108,770	113,960	121,720	133,330	144,780	148,390	150,900	154,360
157,190	161,860						

R\_D4:

Full data range: 1960 - 1993 (n = 30)

NA	NA	NA	NA	57,9400	60,5900	64,4400	70,6600
76,8300	80,0000	84,8200	86,8400	88,8100	88,2800	85,2900	83,1800
85,0700	86,7200	85,4500	83,4100	87,4400	90,1100	94,5000	99,2800
103,640	108,770	113,960	121,720	133,330	144,780	148,390	150,900
154,360	157,190						

```
# generate square terms
? square R_D R_D1 R_D2 R_D3 R_D4
Listing 13 variables:
  0) const      1) YEAR      2) PATENTS    3) R_D       4) R_D1
  5) R_D2      6) R_D3      7) R_D4      8) sq_R_D    9) sq_R_D1
 10) sq_R_D2   11) sq_R_D3  12) sq_R_D4
```

```
# reset sample range because lagged variables are undefined for the
# period 1960-63
? smpl 1964 1993
Full data range: 1960 - 1993 (n = 34)
Current sample: 1964 - 1993 (n = 30)
```

```
# estimate the most general unrestricted model
? ols PATENTS const R_D R_D1 R_D2 R_D3 R_D4 sq_R_D sq_R_D1 sq_R_D2
sq_R_D3 \par sq_R_D4
```

Model 2: OLS, using observations 1964-1993 (T = 30)  
 Dependent variable: PATENTS

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	85,3526	22,1027	3,862	0,0011	***
R_D	-0,0476812	1,12511	-0,04238	0,9666	
R_D1	0,603316	2,05619	0,2934	0,7724	
R_D2	0,000179397	2,18503	8,210e-05	0,9999	
R_D3	-0,586882	2,05219	-0,2860	0,7780	
R_D4	-0,183709	1,09938	-0,1671	0,8691	
sq_R_D	-0,000732587	0,00489771	-0,1496	0,8827	
sq_R_D1	-0,00175375	0,00890019	-0,1970	0,8459	
sq_R_D2	0,00173631	0,00982210	0,1768	0,8616	
sq_R_D3	-0,000756426	0,00923801	-0,08188	0,9356	
sq_R_D4	0,00714386	0,00508520	1,405	0,1762	
Mean dependent var	123,3300	S.D. dependent var	28,79514		
Sum squared resid	223,3789	S.E. of regression	3,428817		
R-squared	0,990710	Adjusted R-squared	0,985821		
F(10, 19)	202,6257	P-value(F)	4,08e-17		
Log-likelihood	-72,68324	Akaike criterion	167,3665		
Schwarz criterion	182,7796	Hannan-Quinn	172,2973		
rho	0,101051	Durbin-Watson	1,797425		

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 5 (R\_D2)

```
# omit variables with p-values above 0.9
? omit 3 5 11
```



Test on Model 2:

Null hypothesis: the regression parameters are zero for the variables  
R\_D, R\_D2, sq\_R\_D3

Test statistic:  $F(3, 19) = 0,00695718$ , p-value 0,999173

Omitting variables improved 3 of 3 information criteria.

Model 3: OLS, using observations 1964-1993 (T = 30)

Dependent variable: PATENTS

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	84,8409	19,0579	4,452	0,0002	***
R_D1	0,604292	0,635069	0,9515	0,3517	
R_D3	-0,735232	0,523331	-1,405	0,1740	
R_D4	-0,0744638	0,513419	-0,1450	0,8860	
sq_R_D	-0,000949134	0,00115128	-0,8244	0,4186	
sq_R_D1	-0,00169303	0,00341377	-0,4959	0,6249	
sq_R_D2	0,00162815	0,00253810	0,6415	0,5278	
sq_R_D4	0,00660987	0,00196462	3,364	0,0028	***

Mean dependent var	123,3300	S.D. dependent var	28,79514
Sum squared resid	223,6243	S.E. of regression	3,188219
R-squared	0,990700	Adjusted R-squared	0,987741
F(7, 22)	334,7991	P-value(F)	7,67e-21
Log-likelihood	-72,69970	Akaike criterion	161,3994
Schwarz criterion	172,6090	Hannan-Quinn	164,9854
rho	0,094485	Durbin-Watson	1,810383

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 7 (R\_D4)

# omit variables with p-values above 0.5

? omit 7 9 10

Test on Model 3:

Null hypothesis: the regression parameters are zero for the variables  
R\_D4, sq\_R\_D1, sq\_R\_D2

Test statistic:  $F(3, 22) = 0,324242$ , p-value 0,807788

Omitting variables improved 3 of 3 information criteria.

Model 4: OLS, using observations 1964-1993 (T = 30)  
 Dependent variable: PATENTS

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	82,8545	12,0355	6,884	3,24e-07	***
R_D1	0,477055	0,327782	1,455	0,1580	
R_D3	-0,637010	0,238843	-2,667	0,0132	**
sq_R_D	-0,00114637	0,000999965	-1,146	0,2625	
sq_R_D4	0,00651885	0,000678426	9,609	7,13e-010	***
Mean dependent var	123,3300	S.D. dependent var	28,79514		
Sum squared resid	233,5118	S.E. of regression	3,056218		
R-squared	0,990289	Adjusted R-squared	0,988735		
F(4, 25)	637,3376	P-value(F)	9,28e-25		
Log-likelihood	-73,34868	Akaike criterion	156,6974		
Schwarz criterion	163,7034	Hannan-Quinn	158,9386		
rho	0,077923	Durbin-Watson	1,843677		

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 8 (sq\_R\_D)

# omit one at a time

? omit 8

Test on Model 4:

Null hypothesis: the regression parameter is zero for sq\_R\_D  
 Test statistic: F(1, 25) = 1,31426, p-value 0,262479  
 Omitting variables improved 3 of 3 information criteria.

Model 5: OLS, using observations 1964-1993 (T = 30)  
 Dependent variable: PATENTS

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	94,2474	6,83005	13,80	1,79e-013	***
R_D1	0,120004	0,102788	1,167	0,2536	
R_D3	-0,481437	0,197731	-2,435	0,0221	**
sq_R_D4	0,00614607	0,000599009	10,26	1,24e-010	***
Mean dependent var	123,3300	S.D. dependent var	28,79514		
Sum squared resid	245,7876	S.E. of regression	3,074633		
R-squared	0,989778	Adjusted R-squared	0,988599		
F(3, 26)	839,2019	P-value(F)	5,54e-26		
Log-likelihood	-74,11721	Akaike criterion	156,2344		
Schwarz criterion	161,8392	Hannan-Quinn	158,0274		
rho	0,131734	Durbin-Watson	1,728149		

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 4 (R\_D1)

? omit 4

Test on Model 5:

Null hypothesis: the regression parameter is zero for R\_D1

Test statistic:  $F(1, 26) = 1,36302$ , p-value 0,253611

Omitting variables improved 3 of 3 information criteria.

Model 6: OLS, using observations 1964-1993 (T = 30)

Dependent variable: PATENTS

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
const	91,3464	6,40463	14,26	4,33e-014	***
R_D3	-0,295068	0,117463	-2,512	0,0183	**
sq_R_D4	0,00585565	0,000548564	10,67	3,44e-011	***
Mean dependent var	123,3300	S.D. dependent var	28,79514		
Sum squared resid	258,6727	S.E. of regression	3,095233		
R-squared	0,989242	Adjusted R-squared	0,988446		
F(2, 27)	1241,430	P-value(F)	2,68e-27		
Log-likelihood	-74,88365	Akaike criterion	155,7673		
Schwarz criterion	159,9709	Hannan-Quinn	157,1121		
rho	0,165750	Durbin-Watson	1,665191		

# compute predicted values

? genr pred = PATENTS - \$uhat

Generated series pred (ID 13)

# save residuals

? genr error = \$uhat

Generated series error (ID 14)

# compute absolute % error

? genr abspcrr = 100\*abs(error)/PATENTS

Generated series abspcrr (ID 15)

# print values as in a table with the -o flag

? print -o R\_D PATENTS pred error abspcrr

	R_D	PATENTS	pred	error	abspcrr
1964	76,83	93,2	93,1259	0,074083	0,079488
1965	80,00	100,4	93,8292	6,570807	6,544629
1966	84,82	93,5	94,8126	-1,312580	1,403829
1967	86,84	93,0	97,9126	-4,912644	5,282413
1968	88,81	98,7	102,3060	-3,605998	3,653494

1969	88,28	104,4	103,7949	0,605085	0,579583
1970	85,29	109,4	107,8508	1,549204	1,416092
1971	83,18	111,1	109,3000	1,800022	1,620182
1972	85,07	105,3	111,4826	-6,182597	5,871412
1973	86,72	109,6	111,8153	-2,215251	2,021214
1974	85,45	107,4	109,3989	-1,998912	1,861185
1975	83,41	108,0	106,7597	1,240283	1,148410
1976	87,44	110,0	108,1349	1,865092	1,695538
1977	90,11	109,0	110,1694	-1,169448	1,072888
1978	94,50	109,3	109,4910	-0,191014	0,174761
1979	99,28	108,9	106,2848	2,615230	2,401497
1980	103,64	113,0	109,5287	3,471297	3,071944
1981	108,77	114,5	111,0093	3,490723	3,048666
1982	113,96	118,4	114,3445	4,055506	3,425258
1983	121,72	112,4	118,4819	-6,081902	5,410945
1984	133,33	120,6	122,1489	-1,548881	1,284313
1985	144,78	127,1	126,9982	0,101834	0,080121
1986	148,39	133,0	131,4774	1,522611	1,144820
1987	150,90	139,8	138,7609	1,039085	0,743265
1988	154,36	151,9	152,7217	-0,821732	0,540969
1989	157,19	166,3	170,3030	-4,003030	2,407114
1990	161,86	176,7	175,7597	0,940300	0,532145
1991	164,54	178,4	179,1376	-0,737635	0,413472
1992	166,70	187,2	184,4873	2,712672	1,449077
1993	165,20	189,4	188,2722	1,127791	0,595455

## DUMMY

gretl version 2017d  
Current session: 2018-03-28 14:06

# PS7.3, to duplicate Table 7.2  
? open data7-3

Read datafile C:Files-3.gdt  
periodicity: 1, maxobs: 14  
observations range: 1 to 14

Listing 8 variables:

0) const      1) price      2) sqft      3) bedrms      4) baths  
5) pool      6) famroom      7) firepl

? ols price const sqft

Model 1: OLS, using observations 1-14  
Dependent variable: price

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value
const	52,3509	37,2855	1,404	0,1857
sqft	0,138750	0,0187329	7,407	8,20e-06 ***

Mean dependent var	317,4929	S.D. dependent var	88,49816
Sum squared resid	18273,57	S.E. of regression	39,02304
R-squared	0,820522	Adjusted R-squared	0,805565
F(1, 12)	54,86051	P-value(F)	8,20e-06
Log-likelihood	-70,08421	Akaike criterion	144,1684
Schwarz criterion	145,4465	Hannan-Quinn	144,0501

# This is model E in Table 7.2

? ols price const sqft bedrms baths pool famroom firepl

Model 2: OLS, using observations 1-14

Dependent variable: price

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	39,0571	89,5397	0,4362	0,6758	
sqft	0,146551	0,0301014	4,869	0,0018	***
bedrms	-7,04553	28,7363	-0,2452	0,8134	
baths	-0,263691	41,4547	-0,006361	0,9951	
pool	53,1958	22,0635	2,411	0,0467	**
famroom	-21,3447	42,8734	-0,4979	0,6338	
firepl	26,1880	53,8454	0,4864	0,6416	

Mean dependent var	317,4929	S.D. dependent var	88,49816
Sum squared resid	9010,244	S.E. of regression	35,87726
R-squared	0,911504	Adjusted R-squared	0,835650
F(6, 7)	12,01657	P-value(F)	0,002213
Log-likelihood	-65,13456	Akaike criterion	144,2691
Schwarz criterion	148,7425	Hannan-Quinn	143,8550

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 4 (baths)

# omit variable with highest pvalue, one at a time

? omit baths

Test on Model 2:

Null hypothesis: the regression parameter is zero for baths

Test statistic:  $F(1, 7) = 4,04618e-005$ , p-value 0,995102

Omitting variables improved 3 of 3 information criteria.

Model 3: OLS, using observations 1-14

Dependent variable: price

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	38,9067	80,7830	0,4816	0,6430	
sqft	0,146419	0,0203633	7,190	9,33e-05	***
bedrms	-7,11121	25,0850	-0,2835	0,7840	
pool	53,2086	20,5529	2,589	0,0322	**
famroom	-21,4518	36,8807	-0,5817	0,5768	
firepl	26,3052	47,3275	0,5558	0,5935	

Mean dependent var	317,4929	S.D. dependent var	88,49816
Sum squared resid	9010,296	S.E. of regression	33,56020
R-squared	0,911503	Adjusted R-squared	0,856193
F(5, 8)	16,47976	P-value(F)	0,000496
Log-likelihood	-65,13460	Akaike criterion	142,2692
Schwarz criterion	146,1035	Hannan-Quinn	141,9143

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 3 (bedrms)

? omit bedrms

Test on Model 3:

Null hypothesis: the regression parameter is zero for bedrms  
 Test statistic:  $F(1, 8) = 0,0803636$ , p-value 0,784003  
 Omitting variables improved 3 of 3 information criteria.

Model 4: OLS, using observations 1-14

Dependent variable: price

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	18,2693	33,1797	0,5506	0,5953	
sqft	0,144969	0,0186760	7,762	2,81e-05	***
pool	55,1793	18,3267	3,011	0,0147	**
famroom	-19,7763	34,4940	-0,5733	0,5805	
firepl	21,0357	41,2408	0,5101	0,6223	

Mean dependent var	317,4929	S.D. dependent var	88,49816
Sum squared resid	9100,809	S.E. of regression	31,79939
R-squared	0,910614	Adjusted R-squared	0,870887
F(4, 9)	22,92180	P-value(F)	0,000097
Log-likelihood	-65,20457	Akaike criterion	140,4091
Schwarz criterion	143,6044	Hannan-Quinn	140,1134

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 7 (firepl)

? omit firepl

Test on Model 4:

Null hypothesis: the regression parameter is zero for firepl  
 Test statistic:  $F(1, 9) = 0,260173$ , p-value 0,622275  
 Omitting variables improved 3 of 3 information criteria.

Model 5: OLS, using observations 1-14

Dependent variable: price

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	22,7361	30,7966	0,7383	0,4773	
sqft	0,147290	0,0174302	8,450	7,27e-06	***
pool	53,3693	17,3020	3,085	0,0116	**
famroom	-7,33610	23,4731	-0,3125	0,7611	

Mean dependent var	317,4929	S.D. dependent var	88,49816
Sum squared resid	9363,895	S.E. of regression	30,60048
R-squared	0,908030	Adjusted R-squared	0,880439
F(3, 10)	32,91049	P-value(F)	0,000017
Log-likelihood	-65,40405	Akaike criterion	138,8081
Schwarz criterion	141,3643	Hannan-Quinn	138,5715

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 6 (famroom)

```
# Model F
? omit famroom
Test on Model 5:
```

Null hypothesis: the regression parameter is zero for famroom  
 Test statistic:  $F(1, 10) = 0,0976769$ , p-value 0,761055  
 Omitting variables improved 3 of 3 information criteria.

Model 6: OLS, using observations 1-14  
 Dependent variable: price

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	22,6728	29,5058	0,7684	0,4584	
sqft	0,144415	0,0141849	10,18	6,18e-07	***
pool	52,7898	16,4817	3,203	0,0084	***

Mean dependent var	317,4929	S.D. dependent var	88,49816
Sum squared resid	9455,359	S.E. of regression	29,31856
R-squared	0,907132	Adjusted R-squared	0,890247
F(2, 11)	53,72383	P-value(F)	2,11e-06
Log-likelihood	-65,47210	Akaike criterion	136,9442
Schwarz criterion	138,8614	Hannan-Quinn	136,7667

```
# rerun full model for Wald test
? ols price const sqft bedrms baths pool famroom firepl
```

Model 7: OLS, using observations 1-14  
 Dependent variable: price



	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	39,0571	89,5397	0,4362	0,6758	
sqft	0,146551	0,0301014	4,869	0,0018	***
bedrms	-7,04553	28,7363	-0,2452	0,8134	
baths	-0,263691	41,4547	-0,006361	0,9951	
pool	53,1958	22,0635	2,411	0,0467	**
famroom	-21,3447	42,8734	-0,4979	0,6338	
firepl	26,1880	53,8454	0,4864	0,6416	

Mean dependent var	317,4929	S.D. dependent var	88,49816
Sum squared resid	9010,244	S.E. of regression	35,87726
R-squared	0,911504	Adjusted R-squared	0,835650
F(6, 7)	12,01657	P-value(F)	0,002213
Log-likelihood	-65,13456	Akaike criterion	144,2691
Schwarz criterion	148,7425	Hannan-Quinn	143,8550

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 4 (baths)

# Wald F-statistic for Models E and F is provided

? omit bedrms baths famroom firepl

Test on Model 7:

Null hypothesis: the regression parameters are zero for the variables  
bedrms, baths, famroom, firepl

Test statistic:  $F(4, 7) = 0,0864517$ , p-value 0,983881

Omitting variables improved 3 of 3 information criteria.

Model 8: OLS, using observations 1-14

Dependent variable: price

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	22,6728	29,5058	0,7684	0,4584	
sqft	0,144415	0,0141849	10,18	6,18e-07	***
pool	52,7898	16,4817	3,203	0,0084	***

Mean dependent var	317,4929	S.D. dependent var	88,49816
Sum squared resid	9455,359	S.E. of regression	29,31856
R-squared	0,907132	Adjusted R-squared	0,890247
F(2, 11)	53,72383	P-value(F)	2,11e-06
Log-likelihood	-65,47210	Akaike criterion	136,9442
Schwarz criterion	138,8614	Hannan-Quinn	136,7667